

# The Cytoskeletal and Chromosomal Constitution of Vitrified Immature Mouse Oocytes

Park, S. P., B. K. Yi, E. Y. Kim, H. K. Nam, K. S. Lee,  
S. H. Yoon\*, K. S. Chung\*\* and J. H. Lim\*

*Maria Infertility Medical Institute, \*Maria Infertility Clinic, Seoul  
\*\*Kon-Kuk University*

= =  
 EFS40  
 cytoskeleton indirect immunocytochemistry  
 EFS40  
 (40% ethylene glycol, 18% ficoll 0.5 M sucrose가 M2 )  
 16 , 1 가  
 90.3% 64.7% , (86.7%, 69.2%) (100%, 58.3%)  
 microtubule microfilament  
 , microtubule microfilament (93.9%,  
 100.0%) (94.4%, 100.0%) (100.0%, 100.0%)  
 가  
 65.8% , (79.6%) (69.0%) 가 .  
 EFS40  
 cytoskeleton , EFS40

(Key words : Immature mouse oocytes, Vitrification, EFS40, Cytoskeleton, Chromosome analysis)

## INTRODUCTION

Many researchers have studied on the cryopreservation of immature oocytes, germinal vesicle (GV) stage oocytes in the mouse (Candy *et al.*, 1994), rat (Pellicer *et al.*, 1988), hamster (Mandelbaum *et al.*, 1988) and human (Son *et al.*, 1996). Also, it has known that the cryopreservation of immature oocytes has the potential to overcome problem associated with Metaphase stage oocytes, such as spindle disorganization (Eroglu *et al.*, 1998), disruption of cytoskeletal elements (Eroglu *et al.*, 1998) and increased abnormal chromosomes (Carroll *et al.*, 1989). Actually, the microtubules were highly sensitive to thermal changes and the last stage of meiosis seems to be altered by cryo-treatment, but immature oocytes with DNA enclosed in the nucleus and protected by the nuclear membrane might be less sensitive to the cryopreservation process than mature oocytes (Pickering *et al.*, 1987). Earlier studies on immature mouse oocyte cryopreservation have performed by slow or conventional cooling procedures using dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) or 1,2-propanediol and variables of ultrarapid freezing methods, and reported that there is no deleterious effect on the morphology of the second meiotic spindle of the oocyte (Van der Elst *et al.*, 1992; Frydman *et al.*, 1997; Eroglu *et al.*, 1998). In our previous study (Yi *et al.*, 1999), we suggested that immature mouse oocytes can be cryopreserved successfully using EFS solution based on ethylene glycol (EG), and their developmental potential *in vivo* was high. In this study, we investigated whether the vitrification method using EFS40 freezing solution has detrimental effect on the cytoskeleton and chromosome constitution of the immature mouse oocytes by indirect immunocytochemistry and chromosome analysis.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 1. Collection of GV-stage mouse oocytes

Oocytes collected from 3 to 5 weeks old female mice (C57BL/CBA) F1 hybrid were primed with an intraperitoneal injection of 7.5 IU pregnant mare's

serum gonadotrophin (PMSG, Sigma). Between 48–52 hr after PMSG injection, the animals were killed. The ovaries were removed after rupture of the periovarian sac and incubated subsequently in M2 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco) and containing 0.25 mM the meiotic inhibitor dibutyryl cAMP (dbcAMP, Sigma). Fully grown GV-stage oocytes (70–80  $\mu\text{m}$ ) were selected. Oocytes were washed and divided into three groups according to experimental purpose; control, exposure to cryoprotectant and vitrification. The exposed group was put through the same procedure as vitrified group except being plunged into liquid nitrogen ( $\text{LN}_2$ ).

## 2. Vitrification and thawing

All manipulations were carried out using M2 medium containing 10% FBS and dbcAMP at 25 °C. Freezing solution was used EFS40 (40% ethylene glycol (EG), 18% ficoll, 0.5 M sucrose and 10% FBS added in M2). Before freezing, the cumulus-free oocytes were equilibrated with exposure to 20% EG for 5 min. Then, oocytes were exposed in EFS consisting of 40% EG for 30 sec. before being plunged into  $\text{LN}_2$ . Thawing was achieved by agitating the straws in a 25 °C water until ice crystals disappeared. Oocytes were released into M2 medium containing 0.5 M sucrose for 5 min, and then transferred into M2 medium for 10 min.

## 3. *In vitro* maturation and assessment

For the *in vitro* maturation, GV-stage oocytes collected from each treatment groups were cocultured in the cumulus cell monolayered drop (10  $\mu\text{l}$ ). After coculture for 16 hr, meiotic maturation of oocytes was assessed at extrusion of first polar body.

## 4. Indirect immunocytochemistry

Staining procedures were described in detail by Kim *et al* (1996). In each groups, oocytes were treated with Buffer M (25% glycerol, 50 mM KCl, 0.5 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$ , 1 mM EGTA and 50 mM imidazol, pH 6.7) for 3–5 min. at 37 °C, fixed in methanol for 10–15 min. at -20 °C and stored in PBS containing 0.02%

NaN<sub>3</sub> and 0.1% bovine serum albumin at 4 °C until the staining. Microtubule localization was performed using anti- $\alpha$ -tubulin monoclonal antibody (Sigma) in which diluted 1:200 in PBS for 60 min. and 1:100 of FITC-labeled goat anti-mouse antibody (Sigma) for 60 min. The chromatin was fluorescently detected by exposure to 1  $\mu$ g/ml propidium iodide (Sigma) for 90 min. To detect distribution of microfilaments, the oocytes were cultured in FITC-labeled phalloidin (10  $\mu$ g/ml) for 90 min. Stained oocytes were mounted under a coverslip with mounting medium (Universal Mount, Fisher Scientific Co., Huntsville, AL, USA) and were observed with fluorescence microscope.

#### 5. Chromosome preparation and analysis

The procedure was modified to that of Bouquet *et al* (1992). The oocytes were placed in 0.5% pronase (Sigma) for 2 min. and a hypotonic solution of sodium citrate (1%) for 2 min. And then they were fixed with acetic alcohol (absolute methyl alcohol : glacial acetic acid = 3 : 1). Slides were stained with 2% Giemsa and normality was scored with microscope. The normal oocytes were considered when they composed of 20 separated chromosomes. Aneuploid was considered where there is an deletion (hypoploid) or addition (hyperploid). Polyploid has an addition of a set of chromosomes.

#### 6. Statistical analysis

In each groups, the results were compared using the chi-square ( $X^2$ ) test.

## RESULTS

In our freezing study, the survival rates of exposed and vitrified group were 86.7% and 90.3%, respectively, there was no significant difference. Also, *in vitro* maturation rates were similar among treatment groups (control: 58.3%, exposed: 69.2% and vitrified: 64.7%). To determine the effect of freezing to the cytoskeletal configuration of immature mouse oocytes, microtubule and microfilament of vitrified-thawed immature mouse oocytes were examined by indirect immunocytochemistry after *in vitro* maturation. From examined

oocytes in each treatment group (using matured oocytes), the vast majority (>90%) displayed normal microtubules organization (control: 100%, exposed: 94.4% and vitrified: 93.9%). With respect to barrel-shaped spindle and normal chromosome alignment, no significant difference was observed among treatment groups (Fig. 1A). However, there was a few abnormal appearance in which two small clusters were seen at the spindle poles apart from the equatorial plate (=activated) in exposed (3/54: 5.6%) and vitrified groups (4/66: 6.1%) (Fig. 1B). In addition, the microfilament was observed into normal morphology indicated as a network throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. 1C), irrespective of treatment groups [ control; 47/47 (100.0%), exposed; 30/30 (100.0%) and vitrified; 34/34 (100.0%) ] . On the other hand, when a certain change of chromosome constitution of immature mouse oocytes according to exposed and vitrified in EFS40 was examined, the rates of oocytes containing a normal chromosome number (n=20) in exposed and vitrified group were 69.0% and 65.8%, respectively. Also, there was no significant difference compared to that (79.6%) in control (Table 2) (Fig. 2A). But, hypoploid rate of exposed (31.0%) or vitrified (34.2%) group was tend to increase than that (18.5%) of control (Fig. 2B), although there was no significant difference. In control group, 1 out of 54 (1.9%) matured oocytes was found to polyploid (Fig. 2C).

## DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that exposure in EFS40 freezing solution and temperature lowering (vitrification) during the GV-stage have no deleterious effect on the morphology of the second meiotic spindle, microfilament and chromosome constitution of immature mouse oocyte. It has been well known that cryopreservation of GV-stage mouse oocytes is advantageous to circumvent the spindle damage and increased chromosome abnormalities noted in cryopreservation of Metaphase oocytes (Eroglu *et al.*, 1998). The GV-stage oocytes are theoretically less susceptible to this kind of freezing injury, because the chromatin at GV-stage is in a decondensed stage and few

microtubule-organizing centers are found at the perinuclear site and most of the microtubular system is not organized (Rime *et al.*, 1987). A normal spindle appeared as fine microtubules traversing the metaphase plate, forming the classic barrel shape. Recently, many researchers have reported that there is no significant abnormalities in cytoskeletal organization on GV-stage oocytes after cryopreservation (Van der Elst *et al.*, 1992; Baka *et al.*, 1995; Frydman *et al.*, 1997; Eroglu *et al.*, 1998). Also, this study indicated that there was no detrimental cooling effect at microfilament (data not shown) which are presented a normal distribution that is located mainly in the cell cortex, regardless of treatment groups (Fig. 1C).

We have further studied the effect of cryopreservation at the GV-stage on the chromosomal constitution as counting the number of chromosome in oocytes from each group. In all treatment groups, although morphological maturation rates were similar, the chromosome abnormality after exposure to freezing solution and vitrification of immature mouse oocytes showed a tendency to increase aneuploid, especially hypoploid. It has known that the disruption or depolymerization of the spindle after exposure to low temperatures gives rise to aneuploidy or polyploidy (Van der Elst *et al.*, 1992; Saranathan *et al.*, 1988). Taken altogether, these results indicated that exposure to cryoprotectant or freezing has not effect on the alteration of cytoskeleton morphology and the chromosome constitution of immature mouse oocytes and that our vitrification method using EFS40 freezing solution was suitable for the cryopreservation of immature mouse oocytes.

## . SUMMARY

This study was to confirm whether the vitrification method using EFS40 freezing solution has detrimental effect on the cytoskeleton and chromosome constitution of the immature mouse oocytes by indirect immunocytochemistry and chromosome analysis. Immature mouse oocytes were vitrified using EFS40 (40% ethylene glycol, 18% ficoll, 0.5 M sucrose diluted in M<sub>2</sub> medium), thawed and then survived oocytes were *in vitro* matured for 16 hr. When the

microtubule morphology and microfilament distribution in vitrified-thawed immature mouse oocytes were examined, normal percentage of two cytoskeleton in vitrified group (93.9 and 100.0%) was not significantly different from that in control (100.0 and 100.0%) and exposed group (94.4 and 100.0%). The rate of oocytes containing a normal chromosome number in vitrified group was 65.8%, this result was not significantly different from that in control (79.6%) and exposed group (69.0%). These results indicated that exposure to cryoprotectant or freezing has not effect on the alteration of cytoskeleton morphology and the chromosome constitution of mouse oocytes and that our vitrification methods using EFS40 freezing solution was suitable for the cryopreservation of immature mouse oocytes.

#### REFERENCES

- Baka, S. G., T. L. Toth, L. L. Veeck, H. W. Jones Jr., S. J. Muasher and S. E. Lanzendorf. Evaluation of the spindle apparatus of *in vitro* matured human oocytes following cryopreservation. *Hum. Reprod.*, 1995, 7, 1816- 1820.
- Bouquet, M., J. J. Selva and M. Auroux. The incidence of chromosomal abnormalities in frozen-thawed mouse oocytes after *in vitro* fertilization. *Hum. Reprod.*, 1992, 7, 76-80.
- Candy, C. J., M. J. Wood, D. G. Whittingham, J. A. Merriman and N. Choudhury. Cryopreservation of immature mouse oocytes. *Hum. Reprod.*, 1994, 9, 1738-1742.
- Carroll, J., G. M. Warnes and C. D. Matthews. Increase in digyny explains polyploidy after *in-vitro* fertilization of frozen-thawed mouse oocytes. *J. Reprod. Fert.*, 1989, 85, 489-494.
- Eroglu, A., T. L. Toth and M. Toner. Alteration of the cytoskeleton and polyploidy induced by cryopreservation of metaphase mouse oocytes. *Fert. Steril.*, 1998, 69, 944-957.
- Frydman, N., J. Selva, M. Bergere, M. Auroux and B. Maro. Cryopreserved immature mouse oocytes: a chromosomal and spindle study. *J. Assist. Reprod. Gene.*, 1997, 14, 617-623.

- Kim, N. H., H. Funahashi, R. S. Prather, G. Schatten and B. N. Day. Microtubule and microfilament dynamics in porcine oocytes during meiotic maturation. *Mor. Reprod. Develop.*, 1996, 43, 248-255.
- Mandelbaum, J., A. M. Junca, C. Tibi, M. Palchot, M. O. Alnot and H. Rime. Cryopreservation of immature and mature hamster and human oocytes. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, 1988, 541, 550-561.
- Pellicer, A., A. Lightman, T. G. Parmer, H. R. Behrman and A. H. De Cherney. Morphologic and functional studies of immature rat oocyte-cumulus complexes after cryopreservation. *Fert. Steril.*, 1988, 50, 805-810.
- Pickering, S. J. and M. H. Johnson. The influence of cooling on the organization of the meiotic spindle of the mouse oocyte. *Hum. Reprod.*, 1987, 3, 207-216.
- Rime, H., C. Jessus and R. Ozon. 1987. Distribution of microtubules during the first meiotic cell division in the mouse oocytes: effect of taxol. *Gamete Res.*, 1987, 17, 1-3.
- Sarananthan, A. H., S. C. Ng, A. O. Trounson, A. Bongso, S. S. Ratnam, J. Ho, H. Mok and M. N. Lee. The effect of ultrarapid freezing on meiotic spindles of mouse oocytes and embryos. *Gamete Res.*, 1988, 211, 385-401.
- Son, W. Y., S. E. Park, K. A. Lee, W. S. Lee, J. J. Ko, T. K. Yoon and K. Y. Cha. Effects of 1,2-propanediol and freezing-thawing on the *in vitro* developmental capacity of human immature oocytes. *Fertil. Steril.*, 1996, 66, 995-999.
- Van der Elst, J., S. Nerinckx and A. C. Steirteghem. *In vitro* maturation of mouse germinal vesicle stage oocytes following cooling, exposure to cryoprotectants and ultrarapid freezing; limited effect on the morphology of the second meiotic spindle. *Hum. Reprod.*, 1992, 7, 1440-1446.
- Yi, B. K., E. Y. Kim, H. K. Nam, K. S. Lee, S. H. Yoon, S. P. Park and J. H. Lim. *In vitro/In vivo* development of vitrified immature mouse oocytes. *Kor. J. Ani. Reprod.*, 1999, 2, in press.



**Table 1. The spindle morphology of immature mouse oocytes vitrified in EFS40**

| Treat-<br>ment | No. of (%) <sup>*</sup> |                |               |              | Spindle morphology (%) |                |               |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|
|                | vitri-<br>fied          | recov-<br>ered | survi-<br>ved | matur-<br>ed | barrel<br>-shaped      | acti-<br>vated | no<br>spindle |
| Control        | -                       | -              | 96            | 56<br>(58.3) | 56<br>(100.0)          | -              | -             |
| Exposed        | 90                      | 90<br>(100.0)  | 78<br>(86.7)  | 54<br>(69.2) | 51<br>(94.4)           | 3<br>(5.6)     | -             |
| Vitrified      | 120                     | 113<br>(94.2)  | 102<br>(90.3) | 66<br>(64.7) | 62<br>(93.9)           | 4<br>(6.1)     | -             |

**Table 2. Incidence of chromosome abnormalities of immature mouse oocytes vitrified in EFS40**

| Treat-<br>ment | No. (%) of oocytes |                     |           |            |            |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
|                | scored             | normally<br>matured | aneuploid |            | poly ploid |
|                |                    |                     | hypoploid | hyperploid |            |
| Control        | 54                 | 43 (79.6)           | 10 (18.5) | -          | 1 (1.9)    |
| Exposed        | 42                 | 29 (69.0)           | 13 (31.0) | -          | -          |
| Vitrified      | 38                 | 25 (65.8)           | 13 (34.2) | -          | -          |

**Figure 1. Immunofluorescence localization of microtubules (A, B) and microfilaments (C) (Green: microtubules, microfilament; red or yellow: chromatin). A. normal: barrel-shaped, P indicates the chromatin of polar body, x600, B. abnormal: activated, x600, C. normal, x400.**

**Figure 2. Chromosomal constitution of oocyte matured *in vitro*, A. normal (n=20) x 1200, B. hypoploid (n=17) x 800, C. polyploid (2n=40). x 800.**